

# Domination edge connectivity of graphs

M. Şerif Aldemir<sup>2</sup>, Süleyman Ediz<sup>1</sup>, İdris Çiftçi<sup>1</sup>, Kerem Yamaç<sup>1</sup>, Ziyattin Taş<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Education, Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science, Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Türkiye

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Science, Bingöl University, Türkiye

\*Corresponding author: [ztas@bingol.edu.tr](mailto:ztas@bingol.edu.tr)

## ABSTRACT

Domination and connectivity are two independent subjects of graph theory which have many applications in computer and information sciences. To bring these two terms together, we first define a novel conditional connectivity measure:  $k$ - domination edge connectivity. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph and  $S$  is the edge set of  $G$ . If  $G - S$  is disconnected and every disconnected component has domination number equals  $k$ , then minimum cardinality of the set  $S$  is called  $k$ -domination edge connectivity number of  $G$  and denoted as  $\lambda^{v(k)}(G)$ . In this study we compute 2-domination edge connectivity of paths, cycles and complete graphs.

**Keywords:** Soft computing, connectivity, domination, conditional connectivity, domination connectivity,  $k$ -domination edge connectivity

## INTRODUCTION

Domination notion in computer science has important application in VLSI design problems. Also, domination is being used to solve security problems for interconnection networks.

Approximately forty different domination parameters have been defined in graph theory so far [1,2]. Connectivity is indispensable tool for measuring fault tolerance capacity of networks [3]. Conditional connectivity is a special type connectivity was invented by Harary in 1983 [4]. Harary's approach is related to assume that every disconnected component subnetwork has desired some special desired properties. After this seminal study, a couple of novel conditional connectivity measures have been defined for understanding fault tolerance capacity of multi-processor systems [5-13].

We notice that any conditional connectivity measurement based to both domination and connectivity notions are not exist in the related literature. Because of fill in this gap, we decide to define a novel conditional connectivity invariant of graph theory: Domination (edge) connectivity.

## PRELIMINARIES

Necessary definitions are given in order to prepare the reader for calculations of the 2-domination edge connectivity.

Let  $G=(V,E)$  a connected graph where  $V$  is the vertex set and  $E$  is the edge set. The degree of any vertex(atom, node) of  $G$  is the number of edges(bond, link) incident to this vertex and denoted as,  $\deg v$  for the vertex  $v$  of  $V$ . If all the degrees of the vertices of  $G$  equal  $r$ , then  $G$  is called  $r$ -regular graph. 2-regular connected graphs with  $n$ -edge and  $n$ -vertex are called cycles and denoted as  $C_n$ . If an edge deleted from the cycle  $C_n$  then the path graph  $P_n$  is acquired. If an  $n$ -vertex graph, every vertex is adjacent to the other all vertices then this graph is called complete graph and denoted as  $K_n$ .

Edge connectivity of a connected graph  $G$ ,  $\lambda(G)$ , is the minimum number of edges whose deletion make the graph  $G$  disconnected. And now we firstly give the definition of a novel conditional connectivity measure in graph theory literature;  $k$ -domination edge connectivity

such as: Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph and  $S$  is the edge set of  $G$ . If  $G - S$  is disconnected and every component has domination number is equal to  $k$ , then minimum cardinality of the set  $S$  is called  $k$ -domination edge connectivity number of  $G$  and denoted as  $\lambda^{v(k)}(G)$ .

And now, we begin to compute the 2-domination edge connectivity for paths, cycles, and complete graphs. We use combinatorial computing techniques method in our computations.

## RESULTS

**Proposition 1.** For  $n \geq 8$ ,  $\lambda^{v(2)}(P_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Proof. We know from the definition of 2-domination edge connectivity that every disconnected component of  $P_n$  has domination number two. Therefore, all disconnected components must be following paths  $P_4$ ,  $P_5$  or  $P_6$ , since they are only paths have domination number two. Each path graph can be disconnected into by using three, two or one of these suitable graphs  $P_4$ ,  $P_5$  or  $P_6$  according to  $n$ . The following table shows possible disconnected components for some  $n$  values.

**Table 1.** Possible disconnected decompositions of  $P_n$  with respect to  $P_4$ ,  $P_5$  and  $P_6$  for  $n \geq 8$ .

$P_n$	Disconnected decompositions of $P_n$	$P_n$	Disconnected decompositions of $P_n$
$P_8$	$P_4, P_4$	$P_{14}$	$P_4, P_5, P_5$
$P_9$	$P_4, P_5$	$P_{15}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5$
$P_{10}$	$P_5, P_5$	$P_{16}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6$
$P_{11}$	$P_5, P_6$	$P_{17}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6$
$P_{12}$	$P_6, P_6$	$P_{18}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{13}$	$P_4, P_4, P_5$	$P_{19}$	$P_4, P_5, P_5, P_5$
$P_{20}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5$	$P_{35}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$

$P_{21}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6$	$P_{36}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{22}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6$	$P_{37}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6$
$P_{23}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{38}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{24}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{39}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{25}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5$	$P_{40}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{26}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6$	$P_{41}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{27}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6$	$P_{42}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{28}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{43}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{29}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{44}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{30}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{45}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{31}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6$	$P_{46}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{32}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6$	$P_{47}$	$P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{33}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{48}$	$P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$
$P_{34}$	$P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$	$P_{49}$	$P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_5, P_6, P_6, P_6, P_6$

Notice from the Table 1 that every  $P_n$  for  $n \geq 26$  can be disconnected into both  $P_5$  and  $P_6$  paths for  $n$  is not congruent to zero with modulo six or only  $P_6$  paths for  $n$  is congruent to zero with modulo six. Based on the pigeonhole principle, the key is to use as many  $P_6$  paths as possible. Thus, the least number of edges will be deleted. There are six cases in this proof.

Case 1:  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . All disconnected components must be  $P_6$  in this case. Therefore, it is enough to delete  $\frac{n}{6} - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$  suitable edges from  $P_n$ .

Case 2:  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Let  $n = 6k + 1$ . In this case we must disconnected  $P_n$  into five number of  $P_5$  and  $k - 4$  number of  $P_6$  since  $n = 5 \times 5 + (k - 4) \times 6$ . Therefore, the number of

disconnected components is  $k + 1$ . And we need to delete at least  $k$  number of suitable edges from  $P_n$ . Thus,  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Case 3:  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ . Let  $n = 6k + 2$ . In this case we must disconnected  $P_n$  into four number of  $P_5$  and  $k - 3$  number of  $P_6$  since  $n = 4 \times 5 + (k - 3) \times 6$ . Therefore, the number of disconnected components is  $k + 1$ . And we need to delete at least  $k$  number of suitable edges from  $P_n$ . Thus,  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Case 4:  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ . Let  $n = 6k + 3$ . In this case we must disconnected  $P_n$  into three number of  $P_5$  and  $k - 2$  number of  $P_6$  since  $n = 3 \times 5 + (k - 2) \times 6$ . Therefore, the number of disconnected components is  $k + 1$ . And we need to delete at least  $k$  number of suitable edges from  $P_n$ . Thus,  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Case 5:  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ . Let  $n = 6k + 4$ . In this case we must disconnected  $P_n$  into two number of  $P_5$  and  $k - 1$  number of  $P_6$  since  $n = 2 \times 5 + (k - 1) \times 6$ . Therefore, the number of disconnected components is  $k + 1$ . And we need to delete at least  $k$  number of suitable edges from  $P_n$ . Thus,  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Case 6:  $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Let  $n = 6k + 5$ . In this case we must disconnected  $P_n$  into one number of  $P_5$  and  $k$  number of  $P_6$  since  $n = 1 \times 5 + k \times 6$ . Therefore, the number of disconnected components is  $k + 1$ . And we need to delete at least  $k$  number of suitable edges from  $P_n$ . Thus,  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

**Corollary 2.** For  $n \geq 8$ ,  $\lambda^{(2)}(C_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Proof. Since we obtain  $P_n$  only one edge deletion from  $C_n$ , it is enough to add one to the result of the Proposition 1. Therefore,  $\lambda^{(2)}(C_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor$ .

Following Table 2 shows computer aided calculations of 2-domination connectivity number of some complete graphs.

**Table 2.** 2-domination connectivity number of some complete graphs.

$K_n$	$\lambda^{\gamma(2)}(K_n)$	$K_n$	$\lambda^{\gamma(2)}(K_n)$
$K_8$	20	$K_{16}$	56
$K_9$	25	$K_{17}$	61
$K_{10}$	29	$K_{18}$	65
$K_{11}$	34	$K_{19}$	70
$K_{12}$	38	$K_{20}$	74
$K_{13}$	43	$K_{21}$	79
$K_{14}$	47	$K_{22}$	83
$K_{15}$	52	$K_{23}$	88

Before calculating 2-domination connectivity in complete graphs, we give two lemmas that we will use with proof of Proposition 5.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $G$  be  $(n - 2)$ -regular connected graph with  $n$  vertex for  $n \geq 4$  and even, then  $\gamma(G) = 2$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we choose two non-neighbour vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Since  $u$  has  $n - 2$  neighbouring vertices,  $u$  dominates all of the vertices of  $G$  except the non-neighbouring vertex  $v$ . Therefore, the set  $\{u, v\}$  dominates every vertex of  $G$ . Thus,  $\gamma(G) = 2$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $G$  be connected graph with  $(n - 2)$  degree of  $(n - 1)$ -vertex and  $(n - 3)$  degree of one vertex for  $n \geq 5$  and odd, then  $\gamma(G) = 2$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we choose two non-neighbour vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Let  $u$  has  $n - 2$  neighbouring vertices and,  $v$  is the only vertex has  $n - 3$  degree. Since  $u$  has  $n - 2$  neighbouring vertices,  $u$  dominates all of the vertices of  $G$  except the non-neighbouring vertex  $v$ . Therefore, the set  $\{u, v\}$  dominates every vertex of  $G$ . Thus,  $\gamma(G) = 2$ .

We can now examine 2-domination connectivity in complete graphs.

**Proposition 5.** For  $n \geq 8$ ,  $\lambda^{\gamma(2)}(K_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{9n-32}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{9n-31}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

Proof. There are two cases.

Case1: Let  $n$  is even. According to the definition of 2-domination connectivity, we must disconnect  $K_n$  into  $C_4$  and  $(n - 6)$ -regular graph with  $n - 4$  vertex graph named as  $S$ . All remaining disconnection procedure which is made by using 2-domination connectivity, requires deleting more edges. We know that  $\gamma(S) = 2$  from Lemma 3 and  $\gamma(C_4) = 2$ . Complete graph  $K_n$  has  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n^2-n}{2}$  edges. Notice that  $S$  has  $4 + \frac{(n-6)(n-4)}{2} = \frac{n^2-10n+32}{2}$  edges. The total number of deleted suitable edges after implying definition of 2-domination connectivity to  $K_n$  is  $\frac{n^2-n}{2} - \frac{n^2-10n+32}{2} = \frac{9n-32}{2}$ .

Case 2: Let  $n$  is odd. According to the definition of 2-domination connectivity, we must disconnect  $K_n$  into  $C_4$  and  $(n - 6)$  degree of  $(n - 5)$ -vertex,  $(n - 7)$  degree of one vertex graph named as  $S$ . All remaining disconnection procedure which is made by using 2-domination connectivity, requires deleting more edges. We know that  $\gamma(S) = 2$  from Lemma 4 and  $\gamma(C_4) = 2$ . Complete graph  $K_n$  has  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n^2-n}{2}$  edges. Notice that  $S$  has  $4 + \frac{(n-6)(n-5)+(n-7)}{2} = \frac{n^2-10n+31}{2}$  edges. The total number of deleted suitable edges after implying

definition of 2-domination connectivity to  $K_n$  is  $\frac{n^2-n}{2} - \frac{n^2-10n+31}{2} = \frac{9n-31}{2}$ . Thus, the proof is completed.

We can give following corollary which joins two cases of Proposition 5, by using properties of ceiling function.

**Corollary 6.**  $\lambda^{v(2)}(K_n) = 4n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 16$ .

## CONCLUSION

In this study, a novel field of study named as k-domination edge connectivity is emerged by combining the concepts of domination and connectivity terms which are two independent subjects of graph theory. We compute 2-domination edge connectivity of paths, cycles, and complete graphs. This study has a potential to stimulate further the following researches:

- Computing 2-domination edge connectivity in graph operations,
- Reckoning k-domination edge connectivity for paths, cycles, complete graphs, alternating group networks and graph operations for different values of k,
- Calculating k-domination for well-known interconnection networks,
- Defining k-domination vertex connectivity and analysing mathematical properties,
- Computing k-domination vertex connectivity for graph classes,
- Reckoning k-domination vertex connectivity for interconnection networks.
- Defining and analysing other type of domination connectivity such as: total domination connectivity, restrained domination connectivity, rainbow domination connectivity, stratified domination connectivity, Roman domination connectivity etc.

## REFERENCES



- [1] Haynes, T. W., Hedetniemi, S., Slater, P. (2013). *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*. CRC press.
- [2] Haynes, T. (2017). *Domination in graphs: Volume 2: Advanced Topics*. Routledge.
- [3] Yu, Z., Zhou, S., Zhang, H. (2022). Fault-Tolerant Strong Menger (Edge) Connectivity of DCC Linear Congruential Graphs. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 1-14.
- [4] Harary, F. (1983). Conditional connectivity. *Networks*, 13(3), 347-357.
- [5] Guo, H., Sabir, E., Mamut, A. (2022). The g-extra connectivity of folded crossed cubes. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 166, 139-146.
- [6] Zhao, Y. Z., Li, X. J., Ma, M. (2022). Embedded connectivity of some BC networks. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 1-14.
- [7] Ediz, S., Çiftçi, İ. (2022). On k-regular edge connectivity of chemical graphs. *Main Group Metal Chemistry*, 45(1), 106-110.
- [8] Zhang, M., Liu, H., & Lin, W. (2022). A unified approach to reliability and edge fault tolerance of cube-based interconnection networks under three hypotheses. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(6), 7936-7947.
- [9] Ba, L., Wu, H., Zhang, H. (2022). Star-structure connectivity of folded hypercubes and augmented cubes. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 1-20.
- [10] Zhang, M., Liu, H., Li, P. (2022). Embedded Edge-Connectivity Reliability Evaluation of Augmented Hypercube Interconnection Networks. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 1-10.

- [11] Cheng, D. (2022). Extra Connectivity and Structure Connectivity of 2-Dimensional Torus Networks. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 33(02), 155-173.
- [12] Li, X., Zhou, S., Ma, T., Guo, X., Ren, X. (2022). The h-Restricted Connectivity of a Class of Hypercube-Based Compound Networks. *The Computer Journal*, 65(9), 2528-2534.
- [13] Zhu, B., Zhang, S., Zou, J., Ye, C. (2022). Two kinds of conditional connectivity of hypercubes. *AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics*, 1-6.